UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Case No.:

JERMAINE MILLS,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

v.

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES LLC, EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC, TRANS UNION LLC, BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, CITIBANK N.A., NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, AND USAA FEDERAL SAVING BANKS,

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendants.	
	/

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Jermaine Mills ("Plaintiff"), by and through counsel, files this Complaint against Equifax Information Services LLC, ("Defendant Equifax" or "Equifax"), Defendant Experian Information Solutions Inc, ("Defendant Experian" or "Experian"), Defendant Trans Union LLC, ("Defendant Trans Union" or "Trans Union"), Defendant Bank of America Corporation, ("Defendant BOA" or "BOA"), Defendant Citibank N.A., ("Defendant Citibank" or "Citibank"), Defendant Navy Federal Credit Union, ("Defendant Navy Federal" or "Navy Federal"), and Defendant USAA Federal Savings Bank, ("Defendant USAA FSB" or "USAA FSB"), pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 USC §1681 et seq. ("FCRA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.
 - 2. Venue in this District is proper because Plaintiff resides here, Defendants transact

PAGE | 1 of 39

business here, and the complained conduct of Defendants occurred here.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

3. Plaintiff, respectfully, demands a trial by jury on all counts and issues so triable.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a natural person, and a citizen of the State of Florida, residing in Broward County, Florida.
- 5. Defendant Equifax is a Georgia limited liability company whose registered agent in Florida is Corporation Service Company, 1201 Hays Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. Equifax is a consumer reporting agency ("CRA") as defined by FCRA, 15 USC §1681a(f).
- 6. Defendant Experian is an Ohio corporation whose registered agent in Florida is CT Corporation System, 1200 South Pine Island Road, Plantation, Florida, 33324. Experian is a consumer reporting agency ("CRA") as defined by FCRA, 15 USC §1681a(f).
- 7. Defendant Trans Union is a Delaware limited liability company whose registered agent in Florida is Corporation Service Company, 1201 Hays Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301. Trans Union is a consumer reporting agency ("CRA") as defined by FCRA, 15 USC §1681a(f).
- 8. Defendant Bank of America Corporation is Delaware corporation whose registered agent in Florida is CT Corporation System, 1200 South Pine Island Road, Plantation, Florida 33324.
- 9. Defendant Citibank N.A. is a financial institution whose headquarters are located at 5800 South Corporate Place, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, 57108.
- 10. Defendant Navy Federal Credit Union is a global credit union headquartered in Vienna, Virginia, whose registered agent is Corporation Service Company, 100 Shockoe Slip, Fl 2, Richmond, Virginia 23219.
 - 11. Defendant USAA Federal Savings Bank is a financial institution whose PAGE | 2 of 39

headquarters are located at 10750 Mcdermott Fwy, San Antonio, Texas 78288.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

12. This action involves derogatory and inaccurate reporting of alleged Consumer

Debts (the "Consumer Debts") by BOA, Citibank, Navy Federal, and USAA FSB to the Credit

Reporting Agencies, Equifax Information Services LLC.

13. In December 2019, Plaintiff discovered that his identity had been stolen and an

unknown individual or individuals had opened unauthorized and fraudulent accounts using his

name and personal identification information. Plaintiff filed a police report with the Los Angeles

Police Department on December 27, 2019.

Since his discovery, Plaintiff has repeatedly pulled his credit reports from Equifax, 14.

Experian, and Trans Union. He has also repeatedly disputed fraudulent accounts that have

appeared on his reports.

On or about May 12, 2023, Plaintiff filed an Identity Theft Report with the Federal 15.

Trade Commission.

On or around May 2023, Plaintiff requested a copy of his credit report from 16.

Defendant Equifax. The report listed two fraudulent accounts that were opened in his name.

17. The Fraudulent Accounts on his Equifax Report are a Navy Federal Account with

an unpaid balance of \$27,114, and an USAA FSB Account with an unpaid balance of \$21,009.

18. On or around May 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute to Defendant Equifax

requesting that Equifax remove the Accounts because they were fraudulently opened in his name

and did not belong to him.

19. On or around June 2023, Defendant Equifax verified the Accounts as accurate

despite receiving evidence from Plaintiff that the accounts were fraudulent.

PAGE | 3 of 39

20. Plaintiff was denied lines of credit and loans due to the fraudulent and delinquent

Accounts being reported by Defendant Equifax and furnished by Defendant Navy Federal and

Defendant USAA FSB.

21. As of the filing of this complaint, Defendant Equifax is still reporting the Accounts

on Plaintiff's credit report.

22. On or around May 2023, Plaintiff requested a copy of his credit report from

Defendant Experian. The report listed four fraudulent accounts that were opened in his name.

23. The Fraudulent Accounts on his Experian Report are a Bank of America Account,

with an unpaid balance of \$7,201, a Navy Federal Account with an unpaid balance of \$27,114, a

second Navy Federal Account with an unpaid balance of \$5,426, an USAA FSB Account with an

unpaid balance of \$21,009, and a second USAA FSB Account with an unpaid balance of \$12,910.

24. On or around May 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute to Defendant Experian

requesting that Experian remove the Accounts because they were fraudulently opened in his name

and did not belong to him.

25. On or around June 2023, Defendant Experian verified the Accounts as accurate

despite receiving evidence from Plaintiff that the accounts were fraudulent.

26. Plaintiff was denied lines of credit and loans due to the fraudulent and delinquent

Accounts being reported by Defendant Experian and furnished by Defendant Bank of America,

Defendant Navy Federal, and Defendant USAA FSB.

27. As of the filing of this complaint, Defendant Experian is still reporting the Accounts

on Plaintiff's credit report.

28. On or around May 2023, Plaintiff requested a copy of his credit report from

Defendant Trans Union. The report listed three fraudulent accounts that were opened in his name.

PAGE | 4 of 39

29. The Fraudulent Accounts on his Trans Union Report are a Macy's American

Express credit card underwritten by Defendant Citibank N.A., with an unpaid balance of \$23,571,

an USAA FSB Account with an unpaid balance of \$21,009, and a second USAA FSB Account

with an unpaid balance of \$12,910.

30. On or around July and August 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute to Defendant

Trans Union requesting that Trans Union remove the Accounts because they were fraudulently

opened in his name and did not belong to him.

31. On or around August and September 2023, Defendant Trans Union verified the

Accounts as accurate despite receiving evidence from Plaintiff that the accounts were fraudulent.

32. Plaintiff was denied lines of credit and loans due to the fraudulent and delinquent

Accounts being reported by Defendant Trans Union and furnished by Defendant Citibank,

Defendant Navy Federal, and Defendant USAA FSB.

33. As of the filing of this complaint, Defendant Trans Union is still reporting the

Accounts on Plaintiff's credit report.

COUNT 1

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Equifax)

34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs ¶¶ 1-5 and 12-21 of this Complaint.

35. Defendant Equifax prepared and issued consumer credit reports concerning

Plaintiff which previously included inaccurate and derogatory reporting of the Accounts with

Defendant Equifax and continues to include the same information concerning inaccurate and

derogatory reporting of the Accounts with Defendant Equifax, a consumer reporting agency.

36. In or around early May of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that

there were fraudulent accounts being reported by Defendant Equifax. Therefore, in or around May

PAGE | 5 of 39

of 2023, Plaintiff disputed this inaccurate and derogatory information to Equifax.

37. Defendants Navy Federal and USAA FSB verified the fraudulent accounts. Despite

Equifax having been put on notice of the fraudulent and inaccurate reporting, Equifax did not

remove the Accounts from Plaintiff's Equifax credit report.

38. As of the filing of this complaint, Defendants Navy Federal and USAA FSB's

derogatory and inaccurate information is still listed on Plaintiff's Equifax credit report.

39. Equifax is engaged in the business of credit reporting and is commonly referred to

as a "Credit Bureau." Defendant Equifax regularly obtains and analyzes financial information

about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

40. Equifax is a "consumer reporting agency" of consumers, as defined by § 1681a(f),

and compiles the information into "credit reports," which it provides to third parties.

41. Despite Defendant Equifax having received Plaintiff's disputes of the Accounts,

Equifax continues to report the Accounts as in collections for unpaid balances to Navy Federal and

USAA FSB.

42. Continuing to report the status of the Accounts in this fashion is significant.

43. By continuing to report the status of the Accounts in this fashion, lenders believe

Plaintiff has had recent, major delinquencies negatively reflecting on Plaintiff's creditworthiness

by impacting his credit score negatively.

44. Credit scoring algorithms take Plaintiff's account status into consideration when

generating a credit score and showing this incorrect account status would cause a lower credit

score to be generated.

45. Equifax failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and reinvestigation.

46. Equifax failed to review and consider all relevant information submitted by

Plaintiff.

PAGE | 6 of 39

47. Equifax failed to conduct an independent investigation and, instead, deferred to

Navy Federal and USAA FSB, when they permitted the derogatory information to remain on

Plaintiff's credit report after Plaintiff's first dispute.

48. Equifax possessed evidence that the information was inaccurate as described by

Plaintiff in the Disputes; however, Equifax failed to correct the information.

49. Equifax's reporting of inaccurate information about the Accounts, which is the

subject of the Disputes, despite evidence that said information is inaccurate demonstrates

Equifax's failure to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible

accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and file.

50. Equifax did not conduct any independent investigation after it received Plaintiff's

disputes and, instead, chose to parrot the information it received from Defendant Navy Federal

and USAA FSB despite being in possession of evidence that the information was inaccurate.

51. Without any explanation or reason, Equifax continues to report this false,

inaccurate, or otherwise incomplete information, about Plaintiff.

52. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of the incorrect reporting and Equifax's

failure to correct the credit report pertaining to Plaintiff.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 53.

limitation, Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable

procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and

credit files published and maintained concerning Plaintiff.

54. Equifax failed to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum

possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and credit files when investigating Plaintiff's

disputes of the information contained in Plaintiff's Equifax credit report.

55. Equifax's procedures were per se deficient by reason of these failures in ensuring

PAGE | 7 of 39

the maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and credit files.

56. Equifax has willfully and recklessly failed to comply with the FCRA. The failures

of Equifax to comply with the FCRA include, but are not limited to, the following: [a] the failure

to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information

reported; [b] the failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding Plaintiff after a

reasonable request by Plaintiff; [c] the failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and

derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [d] the failure to promptly

and adequately investigate information which Equifax had notice was inaccurate; [e] the continual

placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of Plaintiff after being advised by

Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate; [f] the failure to note in the credit report that Plaintiff

disputed the accuracy of the information; [g] the failure to promptly delete information that was

found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised

Equifax to delete; and [h] the failure to take adequate steps to verify information Equifax had

reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

57. The conduct, action and inaction of Equifax was willful, thereby rendering Equifax

liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by a judge and/or

jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

58. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in

an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

59. The appearance of the Accounts on Plaintiff's credit report, namely, the Accounts

identified by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's disputes to Equifax, was the direct and proximate result of

Equifax's willful failure to maintain reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible

accuracy of Plaintiff's credit report in violation of the 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

60. As a result of the conduct, action, and inaction, of Equifax, Plaintiff suffered

PAGE | 8 of 39

damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, emotional distress,

mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

61. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment

against Defendant Equifax, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant to

15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(1); [2] statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A); [3]

statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(2); [4] after reasonable showing by evidence

in the record or proffered by Plaintiff which would provide a reasonable basis for recovery of such,

punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); [5] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1640(a)(3) and 1681n(a)(3); and [6] any other relief that this Court deems

proper under the circumstances.

COUNT 2

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Equifax)

62. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs ¶¶ 1-5,12-31, 34-61 above of this

Complaint.

63. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a reasonable

reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

64. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) by failing to review and consider all relevant

information submitted by Plaintiff with respect to the disputed Information.

65. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5) by failing to delete the inaccurate information.

66. Additionally, Equifax negligently failed to report the accounts identified by

Plaintiff as "disputed" in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 168li(c).

PAGE | 9 of 39

67. Equifax has negligently failed to comply with the FCRA. The failures of Equifax

to comply with the FCRA include, but are not limited to, the following: [a] the failure to follow

reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported; [b]

the failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding Plaintiff after a reasonable request

by Plaintiff; [c] the failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit

information after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [d] the failure to promptly and adequately

investigate information which Equifax had notice was inaccurate; [e] the continual placement of

inaccurate information into the credit report of Plaintiff after being advised by Plaintiff that the

information was inaccurate; [f] the failure to note in the credit report that Plaintiff disputed the

accuracy of the information; [g] the failure to promptly delete information that was found to be

inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Equifax to

delete; and [h] the failure to take adequate steps to verify information Equifax had reason to

believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

68. The conduct, action, and inaction, of Equifax was negligent, thereby rendering

Equifax liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by a

judge and/or jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

69. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorneys' fees from Equifax in

an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

70. As a result of the conduct, action, and inaction of Equifax, Plaintiff suffered damage

by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, emotional distress, mental and

emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

71. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment

against Defendant Equifax, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant to

15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

PAGE | 10 of 39

1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 3

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Experian)

72. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 6, 12-15, and 22-27 of this Complaint.

73. Defendant Experian prepared and issued consumer credit reports concerning

Plaintiff which previously included inaccurate and derogatory reporting of the Accounts with

Defendant Experian and continues to include the same information concerning inaccurate and

derogatory reporting of the Accounts with Defendant Experian, a consumer reporting agency.

74. In or around early May of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that

there were fraudulent accounts being reported by Defendant Experian. Therefore, in or around

May of 2023, Plaintiff disputed this inaccurate and derogatory information to Experian.

75. Defendants BOA, Navy Federal, and USAA FSB verified the fraudulent accounts.

Despite Experian having been put on notice of the fraudulent and inaccurate reporting, Experian

did not remove the Accounts from Plaintiff's Experian credit report.

As of the filing of this complaint, Defendant BOA, Navy Federal, and USAA FSB's 76.

derogatory and inaccurate information is still listed on Plaintiff's Experian credit report.

77. Experian is engaged in the business of credit reporting and is commonly referred to

as a "Credit Bureau." Defendant Experian regularly obtains and analyzes financial information

about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

78. Experian is a "consumer reporting agency" of consumers, as defined by § 1681a(f),

and compiles the information into "credit reports," which it provides to third parties.

79. Despite Defendant Experian having received Plaintiff's disputes of the Accounts,

Experian continues to report the Accounts as in collections for unpaid balances to BOA, Navy

PAGE | 11 of 39

Federal, and USAA FSB.

80. Continuing to report the status of the Accounts in this fashion is significant.

81. By continuing to report the status of the Accounts in this fashion, lenders believe

Plaintiff has had recent, major delinquencies negatively reflecting on Plaintiff's creditworthiness

by impacting his credit score negatively.

82. Credit scoring algorithms take Plaintiff's account status into consideration when

generating a credit score and showing this incorrect account status would cause a lower credit

score to be generated.

83. Experian failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and reinvestigation.

84. Experian failed to review and consider all relevant information submitted by

Plaintiff.

85. Experian failed to conduct an independent investigation and, instead, deferred to

BOA, Navy Federal, and USAA FSB, when they permitted the derogatory information to remain

on Plaintiff's credit report after Plaintiff's first dispute.

86. Experian possessed evidence that the information was inaccurate as described by

Plaintiff in the Disputes; however, Experian failed to correct the information.

87. Experian's reporting of inaccurate information about the Accounts, which is the

subject of the Disputes, despite evidence that said information is inaccurate demonstrates

Experian's failure to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible

accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and file.

88. Experian did not conduct any independent investigation after it received Plaintiff's

disputes and, instead, chose to parrot the information it received from Defendants BOA, Navy

Federal, and USAA FSB despite being in possession of evidence that the information was

inaccurate.

PAGE | 12 of 39

89. Without any explanation or reason, Experian continues to report this false,

inaccurate, or otherwise incomplete information, about Plaintiff.

90. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of the incorrect reporting and Experian's

failure to correct the credit report pertaining to Plaintiff.

91. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable

procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and

credit files published and maintained concerning Plaintiff.

Experian failed to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum 92.

possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and credit files when investigating Plaintiff's

disputes of the information contained in Plaintiff's Experian credit report.

93. Experian's procedures were per se deficient by reason of these failures in ensuring

the maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and credit files.

Experian has willfully and recklessly failed to comply with the FCRA. The failures 94.

of Experian to comply with the FCRA include, but are not limited to, the following: [a] the failure

to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information

reported; [b] the failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding Plaintiff after a

reasonable request by Plaintiff; [c] the failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and

derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [d] the failure to promptly

and adequately investigate information which Experian had notice was inaccurate; [e] the

continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of Plaintiff after being advised

by Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate; [f] the failure to note in the credit report that

Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information; [g] the failure to promptly delete information

that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had

advised Experian to delete; and [h] the failure to take adequate steps to verify information Experian

had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

95. The conduct, action and inaction of Experian was willful, thereby rendering

Experian liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by a

judge and/or jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

96. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Experian

in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

97. The appearance of the Accounts on Plaintiff's credit report, namely, the Accounts

identified by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's disputes to Experian, was the direct and proximate result of

Experian's willful failure to maintain reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible

accuracy of Plaintiff's credit report in violation of the 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

98. As a result of the conduct, action, and inaction, of Experian, Plaintiff suffered

damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, emotional distress,

mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

99. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment

against Defendant Experian, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant

to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(1); [2] statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A); [3]

statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(2); [4] after reasonable showing by evidence

in the record or proffered by Plaintiff which would provide a reasonable basis for recovery of such,

punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); [5] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1640(a)(3) and 1681n(a)(3); and [6] any other relief that this Court deems

proper under the circumstances.

COUNT 4

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Experian)

PAGE | 14 of 39

Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 6, 12-15, 22-27, 72-99 above 100.

of this Complaint.

101. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a reasonable

reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 102.

limitation, Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) by failing to review and consider all relevant

information submitted by Plaintiff with respect to the disputed Information.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 103.

limitation, Experian violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5) by failing to delete the inaccurate

information.

104. Additionally, Experian negligently failed to report the accounts identified by

Plaintiff as "disputed" in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 168li(c).

Experian has negligently failed to comply with the FCRA. The failures of Experian

to comply with the FCRA include, but are not limited to, the following: [a] the failure to follow

reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported; [b]

the failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding Plaintiff after a reasonable request

by Plaintiff; [c] the failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit

information after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [d] the failure to promptly and adequately

investigate information which Experian had notice was inaccurate; [e] the continual placement of

inaccurate information into the credit report of Plaintiff after being advised by Plaintiff that the

information was inaccurate; [f] the failure to note in the credit report that Plaintiff disputed the

accuracy of the information; [g] the failure to promptly delete information that was found to be

PAGE | 15 of 39

inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Experian to

delete; and [h] the failure to take adequate steps to verify information Experian had reason to

believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

The conduct, action, and inaction, of Experian was negligent, thereby rendering 106.

Experian liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by a

judge and/or jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

107. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorneys' fees from Experian

in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

As a result of the conduct, action, and inaction of Experian, Plaintiff suffered

damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, emotional distress,

mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 109.

against Defendant Experian, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant

to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 5

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Trans Union)

110. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 7, 12-15, and 28-33 of this

Complaint.

111. Defendant Trans Union prepared and issued consumer credit reports concerning

Plaintiff which previously included inaccurate and derogatory reporting of the Accounts with

Defendant Trans Union and continues to include the same information concerning inaccurate and

derogatory reporting of the Accounts with Defendant Trans Union, a consumer reporting agency.

In or around early April of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that 112.

PAGE | 16 of 39

there were inaccurate reports from Defendant Trans Union. Therefore, in or around April of 2023,

Plaintiff disputed this inaccurate and derogatory information to Trans Union.

113. In or around early September of 2023, Plaintiff disputed this inaccurate and

derogatory information to Trans Union for a second time.

Defendants Citibank and USAA FSB verified the fraudulent accounts. Despite 114.

Trans Union having been put on notice of the fraudulent and inaccurate reporting, Trans Union

did not remove the Accounts from Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report.

As of the filing of this complaint, Defendants Citibank and USAA FSB's 115.

derogatory and inaccurate information is still listed on Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report.

Trans Union is engaged in the business of credit reporting and is commonly referred 116.

to as a "Credit Bureau." Defendant Trans Union regularly obtains and analyzes financial

information about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

Trans Union is a "consumer reporting agency" of consumers, as defined by § 117.

1681a(f), and compiles the information into "credit reports," which it provides to third parties.

Despite Defendant Trans Union having received Plaintiff's disputes of the 118.

Accounts, Trans Union continues to report the Accounts as in collections for unpaid balances to

Citibank and USAA FSB.

119. Continuing to report the status of the Accounts in this fashion is significant.

120. By continuing to report the status of the Accounts in this fashion, lenders believe

Plaintiff has had recent, major delinquencies negatively reflecting on Plaintiff's creditworthiness

by impacting his credit score negatively.

121. Credit scoring algorithms take Plaintiff's account status into consideration when

generating a credit score and showing this incorrect account status would cause a lower credit

score to be generated.

PAGE | 17 of 39

Case 0:23-cv-62381-RS Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 12/20/2023 Page 18 of 39

122. Trans Union failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and reinvestigation.

123. Trans Union failed to review and consider all relevant information submitted by

Plaintiff.

124. Trans Union failed to conduct an independent investigation and, instead, deferred

to Citibank and USAA FSB, when they permitted the derogatory information to remain on

Plaintiff's credit report after Plaintiff's first dispute.

Trans Union possessed evidence that the information was inaccurate as described 125.

by Plaintiff in the Disputes; however, Trans Union failed to correct the information.

Trans Union's reporting of inaccurate information about the Accounts, which is the 126.

subject of the Disputes, despite evidence that said information is inaccurate demonstrates Trans

Union's failure to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible

accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and file.

Trans Union did not conduct any independent investigation after it received 127.

Plaintiff's disputes and, instead, chose to parrot the information it received from Defendants

Citibank and USAA FSB despite being in possession of evidence that the information was

inaccurate.

128. Without any explanation or reason, Trans Union continues to report this false,

inaccurate, or otherwise incomplete information, about Plaintiff.

129. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of the incorrect reporting and Trans

Union's failure to correct the credit report pertaining to Plaintiff.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 130.

limitation, Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to establish or follow reasonable

procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit reports and

credit files published and maintained concerning Plaintiff.

PAGE | 18 of 39

131. Trans Union failed to establish or follow reasonable procedures to assure the

maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and credit files when investigating

Plaintiff's disputes of the information contained in Plaintiff's Trans Union credit report.

Trans Union's procedures were per se deficient by reason of these failures in 132.

ensuring the maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit reports and credit files.

133. Trans Union has willfully and recklessly failed to comply with the FCRA. The

failures of Trans Union to comply with the FCRA include, but are not limited to, the following:

[a] the failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the

information reported; [b] the failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding Plaintiff

after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [c] the failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and

derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [d] the failure to promptly

and adequately investigate information which Trans Union had notice was inaccurate; [e] the

continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of Plaintiff after being advised

by Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate; [f] the failure to note in the credit report that

Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information; [g] the failure to promptly delete information

that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had

advised Trans Union to delete; and [h] the failure to take adequate steps to verify information Trans

Union had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.

134. The conduct, action and inaction of Trans Union was willful, thereby rendering

Trans Union liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by

a judge and/or jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

135. Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Trans

Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

136. The appearance of the Accounts on Plaintiff's credit report, namely, the Accounts

PAGE | 19 of 39

identified by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's disputes to Trans Union, was the direct and proximate result of

Trans Union's willful failure to maintain reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible

accuracy of Plaintiff's credit report in violation of the 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

As a result of the conduct, action, and inaction, of Trans Union, Plaintiff suffered 137.

damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, emotional distress,

mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

138. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment

against Defendant Trans Union, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(1); [2] statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A);

[3] statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(2); [4] after reasonable showing by

evidence in the record or proffered by Plaintiff which would provide a reasonable basis for

recovery of such, punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); [5] costs and reasonable

attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1640(a)(3) and 1681n(a)(3); and [6] any other relief that

this Court deems proper under the circumstances.

COUNT 6

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Trans Union)

139. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 7, 12-15, 28-33, and 110-139

above of this Complaint.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 140.

limitation, Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a reasonable

reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 141.

limitation, Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(4) by failing to review and consider all

relevant information submitted by Plaintiff with respect to the disputed Information.

PAGE | 20 of 39

www.JibraelLaw.com

Case 0:23-cv-62381-RS Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 12/20/2023 Page 21 of 39

142. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5) by failing to delete the inaccurate

information.

143. Additionally, Trans Union negligently failed to report the accounts identified by

Plaintiff as "disputed" in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 168li(c).

Trans Union has negligently failed to comply with the FCRA. The failures of Trans

Union to comply with the FCRA include, but are not limited to, the following: [a] the failure to

follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information

reported; [b] the failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding Plaintiff after a

reasonable request by Plaintiff; [c] the failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and

derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by Plaintiff; [d] the failure to promptly

and adequately investigate information which Trans Union had notice was inaccurate; [e] the

continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of Plaintiff after being advised

by Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate; [f] the failure to note in the credit report that

Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information; [g] the failure to promptly delete information

that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had

advised Trans Union to delete; and [h] the failure to take adequate steps to verify information

Trans Union had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the

consumer.

145. The conduct, action, and inaction, of Trans Union was negligent, thereby rendering

Trans Union liable for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, in an amount to be determined by

a judge and/or jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorneys' fees from Trans 146.

Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).

PAGE | 21 of 39

147. As a result of the conduct, action, and inaction of Trans Union, Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, emotional distress, mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

148. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment against Defendant Trans Union, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 7

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant BOA)

- 149. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant BOA in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 8, 12-15, and 28-33 by reference of this Complaint.
 - 150. Defendant BOA is a financial institution.
- 151. In or about May of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that there was an inaccurate and fraudulent reporting from Defendant BOA.
- 152. In or about May of 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute of the erroneous and derogatory information reported to Defendant Experian by Defendant BOA.
- 153. In response to the Dispute, Defendant Experian promptly and properly gave notice to Defendant BOA of the Dispute in accordance with the FCRA.
- 154. As of the filing of this complaint, the debt has continued to be verified by Defendant BOA.
- 155. Defendant BOA is a "furnisher of information" that regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

PAGE | 22 of 39

156. On a date better known by Defendant BOA, Defendant Experian promptly and

properly gave notice to Defendant BOA of Plaintiff's Dispute in accordance with the FCRA.

In response to the notices received from Defendant Experian regarding Plaintiff's 157.

Dispute, Defendant BOA did not and otherwise failed to conduct a reasonable investigation into

the BOA Account which is the subject of the Dispute.

158. In response to receiving notice from Defendant Experian regarding Plaintiff's

Dispute, Defendant BOA failed to correct and/or delete information it knew to be inaccurate and

fraudulent and/or which Defendant Experian could not otherwise verify.

159. Instead of conducting a reasonable investigation, Defendant BOA erroneously

validated the Account and continued to report inaccurate and fraudulent information to Defendant

Experian.

160. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant BOA violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

reasonable investigation with respect to the disputed information.

161. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant BOA violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all relevant

information provided by the consumer reporting agency, Experian.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 162.

limitation, Defendant BOA violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete or inform

Defendant Experian about the inaccurate information.

Upon information and belief, Defendant BOA was aware of the FCRA obligations 163.

to reasonably investigate disputes when it received notice of Plaintiff's Dispute.

164. Defendant BOA's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these failures in

PAGE | 23 of 39

its investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant BOA's violations of § 1681s-2(b), 165.

Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to fix

Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

166. Defendant BOA's actions in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), were willful,

rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages as well as punitive damages as the Court may

allow and attorney's fees in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681n.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 167.

against Defendant BOA, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant to 15

U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2);

and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 8

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant BOA)

Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant BOA 168.

in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 8, 12-15, 28-33, and 149-167 by reference of this Complaint.

169. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant BOA violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a reasonable

reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

PAGE | 24 of 39

170. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant BOA violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all relevant

information provided by the consumer reporting agencies.

On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without 171.

limitation, Defendant BOA violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete the

Inaccurate information.

When Defendant BOA received notice of Plaintiff's disputes from Defendant 172.

Experian, Defendant BOA could and should have reviewed its own systems and previous

communications with Plaintiff as part of its investigation.

Defendant BOA would have discovered that the information it was reporting about

Plaintiff was inaccurate and the Accounts were fraudulent if Defendant BOA had reviewed its own

systems and previous communications with the Plaintiff.

Defendant BOA's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these failures in 174.

Defendant BOA's investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant BOA's violations of § 1681s-2(b), 175.

Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to fix

Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

176. Defendant BOA's actions constitute negligent noncompliance with the FCRA

rendering it liable for actual damages as well as attorney's fees in an amount to be determined by

PAGE | 25 of 39

the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 177.

against Defendant BOA awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant to 15

U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2);

and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 9

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Citibank)

Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant BOA 178.

in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 9, 12-15, and 28-33 by reference of this Complaint.

179. Defendant Citibank is a financial institution.

180. In or about April of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that there

was an inaccurate and fraudulent reporting from Defendant Citibank.

In or about July of 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute of the erroneous and 181.

derogatory information reported to Defendant Trans Union by Defendant Citibank.

182. In or about August of 2023, Plaintiff submitted a second dispute of the erroneous

and derogatory information reported to Defendant Trans Union by Defendant Citibank.

183. In response to the Dispute, Defendant Trans Union promptly and properly gave

notice to Defendant Citibank of the Dispute in accordance with the FCRA.

184. As of the filing of this complaint, the debt has continued to be verified by Defendant

Citibank.

Defendant Citibank is a "furnisher of information" that regularly and in the ordinary 185.

course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about

consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

PAGE | 26 of 39

Case 0:23-cv-62381-RS Document 1 Entered on FLSD Docket 12/20/2023 Page 27 of 39

186. On a date better known by Defendant Citibank, Defendant Trans Union promptly

and properly gave notice to Defendant Citibank of Plaintiff's Dispute in accordance with the

FCRA.

187. In response to the notices received from Defendant Trans Union regarding

Plaintiff's Dispute, Defendant Citibank did not and otherwise failed to conduct a reasonable

investigation into the Citibank Account which is the subject of the Dispute.

In response to receiving notice from Defendant Trans Union regarding Plaintiff's 188.

Dispute, Defendant Citibank failed to correct and/or delete information it knew to be inaccurate

and fraudulent and/or which Defendant Trans Union could not otherwise verify.

Instead of conducting a reasonable investigation, Defendant Citibank erroneously 189.

validated the Accounts and continued to report inaccurate and fraudulent information to Defendant

Trans Union.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without 190.

limitation, Defendant Citibank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

reasonable investigation with respect to the disputed information.

191. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Citibank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all

relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agency, Trans Union.

192. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Citibank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete or inform

Defendant Trans Union about the inaccurate information.

193. Upon information and belief, Defendant Citibank was aware of the FCRA

obligations to reasonably investigate disputes when it received notice of Plaintiff's Dispute.

PAGE | 27 of 39

194. Defendant Citibank's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these failures

in its investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Citibank's violations of § 1681s-2(b), 195.

Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to fix

Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

Defendant Citibank's actions in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), were willful, 196.

rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages as well as punitive damages as the Court may

allow and attorney's fees in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681n.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 197.

against Defendant Citibank, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant

to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 10

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Citibank)

Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant 198.

Citibank in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 9, 12-15, 28-33, and 178-197 by reference of this Complaint.

199. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Citibank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

PAGE | 28 of 39

reasonable reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

200. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Citibank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all

relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agencies.

201. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Citibank violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete the

Inaccurate information.

When Defendant Citibank received notice of Plaintiff's disputes from Defendant 202.

Trans Union, Defendant Citibank could and should have reviewed its own systems and previous

communications with Plaintiff as part of its investigation.

203. Defendant Citibank would have discovered that the information it was reporting

about Plaintiff was inaccurate and the Accounts were fraudulent if Defendant Citibank had

reviewed its own systems and previous communications with the Plaintiff.

204. Defendant Citibank's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these failures

in Defendant Citibank's investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

205. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Citibank's violations of § 1681s-2(b),

Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to fix

Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

206. Defendant Citibank's actions constitute negligent noncompliance with the FCRA

PAGE | 29 of 39

rendering it liable for actual damages as well as attorney's fees in an amount to be determined by

the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 207.

against Defendant Citibank awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant to

15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 11

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Navy Federal)

208. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant BOA

in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 10, and 12-27 by reference of this Complaint.

209. Defendant Navy Federal is a federal credit union.

210. In or about April of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that there

was an inaccurate and fraudulent reporting from Defendant Navy Federal.

211. Throughout the months of April to May of 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute of

the erroneous and derogatory information reported to Defendants Equifax and Experian by

Defendant Navy Federal.

212. In response to the Dispute, Defendants Equifax and Experian promptly and

properly gave notice to Defendant Navy Federal of the Dispute in accordance with the FCRA.

213. As of the filing of this complaint, the debt has continued to be verified by Defendant

Navy Federal.

Defendant Navy Federal is a "furnisher of information" that regularly and in the

ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies

about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

PAGE | 30 of 39

On a date better known by Defendant Navy Federal, Defendants Equifax and 215.

Experian promptly and properly gave notice to Defendant Navy Federal of Plaintiff's Dispute in

accordance with the FCRA.

In response to the notices received from Defendants Equifax and Experian 216.

regarding Plaintiff's Dispute, Defendant Navy Federal did not and otherwise failed to conduct a

reasonable investigation into the Navy Federal Account which is the subject of the Dispute.

In response to receiving notice from Defendants Equifax and Experian regarding 217.

Plaintiff's Dispute, Defendant Navy Federal failed to correct and/or delete information it knew to

be inaccurate and fraudulent and/or which Defendants Equifax and Experian could not otherwise

verify.

218. Instead of conducting a reasonable investigation, Defendant Navy Federal

erroneously validated the Accounts and continued to report inaccurate and fraudulent information

to Defendants Equifax and Experian.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Navy Federal violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

reasonable investigation with respect to the disputed information.

220. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Navy Federal violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all

relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agencies, Equifax and Experian.

221. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Navy Federal violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete or

inform Defendants Equifax and Experian about the inaccurate information.

222. Upon information and belief, Defendant Navy Federal was aware of the FCRA

PAGE | 31 of 39

obligations to reasonably investigate disputes when it received notice of Plaintiff's Dispute.

223. Defendant Navy Federal's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these

failures in its investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Navy Federal's violations of § 1681s-224.

2(b), Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to

fix Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

225. Defendant Navy Federal's actions in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), were

willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages as well as punitive damages as the Court

may allow and attorney's fees in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

§ 1681n.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 226.

against Defendant Navy Federal, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

§ 1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 12

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant Navy Federal)

227. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant Navy

Federal in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 10, 12-27, and 208-226 by reference of this Complaint.

228. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

PAGE | 32 of 39

limitation, Defendant Navy Federal violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

reasonable reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

229. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Navy Federal violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all

relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agencies.

230. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant Navy Federal violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete the

Inaccurate information.

231. When Defendant Navy Federal received notice of Plaintiff's disputes from

Defendants Equifax and Experian, Defendant Navy Federal could and should have reviewed its

own systems and previous communications with Plaintiff as part of its investigation.

232. Defendant Navy Federal would have discovered that the information it was

reporting about Plaintiff was inaccurate and the Accounts were fraudulent if Defendant Navy

Federal had reviewed its own systems and previous communications with the Plaintiff.

233. Defendant Navy Federal's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these

failures in Defendant Navy Federal's investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

234. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Navy Federal's violations of § 1681s-

2(b), Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to

fix Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

PAGE | 33 of 39

Defendant Navy Federal's actions constitute negligent noncompliance with the 235.

FCRA rendering it liable for actual damages as well as attorney's fees in an amount to be

determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 236.

against Defendant Navy Federal awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages

pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

§ 1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 13

WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant USAA FSB)

Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant BOA 237.

in paragraphs ¶ 1-4, 11, and 12-33 by reference of this Complaint.

238. Defendant USAA FSB is a financial institution.

In or about April of 2023, Plaintiff checked his credit report and noticed that there 239.

was an inaccurate and fraudulent reporting from Defendant USAA FSB.

240. Throughout the months of April to July of 2023, Plaintiff submitted a dispute of the

erroneous and derogatory information reported to Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union

by Defendant USAA FSB.

241. In response to the Dispute, Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union

promptly and properly gave notice to Defendant USAA FSB of the Dispute in accordance with the

FCRA.

As of the filing of this complaint, the debt has continued to be verified by Defendant 242.

USAA FSB.

243. Defendant USAA FSB is a "furnisher of information" that regularly and in the

PAGE | **34** of **39**

ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies

about consumer transactions or experiences with any consumer.

244. On a date better known by Defendant USAA FSB, Defendants Equifax, Experian,

and Trans Union promptly and properly gave notice to Defendant USAA FSB of Plaintiff's

Dispute in accordance with the FCRA.

245. In response to the notices received from Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans

Union regarding Plaintiff's Dispute, Defendant USAA FSB did not and otherwise failed to conduct

a reasonable investigation into the USAA FSB Account which is the subject of the Dispute.

246. In response to receiving notice from Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans

Union regarding Plaintiff's Dispute, Defendant USAA FSB failed to correct and/or delete

information it knew to be inaccurate and fraudulent and/or which Defendants Equifax, Experian,

and Trans Union could not otherwise verify.

Instead of conducting a reasonable investigation, Defendant USAA FSB 247.

erroneously validated the Accounts and continued to report inaccurate and fraudulent information

to Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union.

248. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant USAA FSB violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

reasonable investigation with respect to the disputed information.

249. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant USAA FSB violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all

relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agencies, Equifax, Experian, and Trans

Union.

250. On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

PAGE | 35 of 39

limitation, Defendant USAA FSB violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete or

inform Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union about the inaccurate information.

251. Upon information and belief, Defendant USAA FSB was aware of the FCRA

obligations to reasonably investigate disputes when it received notice of Plaintiff's Dispute.

252. Defendant USAA FSB's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these

failures in its investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendant USAA FSB's violations of § 1681s-253.

2(b), Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to

fix Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

254. Defendant USAA FSB's actions in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), were

willful, rendering it liable for actual or statutory damages as well as punitive damages as the Court

may allow and attorney's fees in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C.

§ 1681n.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 255.

against Defendant USAA FSB, awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant

to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

COUNT 14

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

(Against Defendant USAA FSB)

PAGE | 36 of 39

256. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations regarding the Accounts and Defendant USAA

FSB in paragraphs ¶¶ 1-4, 11, 12-33 and 237-255 by reference of this Complaint.

On at least one occasion within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant USAA FSB violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a

reasonable reinvestigation with respect to the disputed information.

258. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant USAA FSB violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to review all

relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agencies.

259. On one or more occasions within the past year, by example only and without

limitation, Defendant USAA FSB violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E) by failing to delete the

Inaccurate information.

260. When Defendant USAA FSB received notice of Plaintiff's disputes from

Defendants Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union, Defendant USAA FSB could and should have

reviewed its own systems and previous communications with Plaintiff as part of its investigation.

261. Defendant USAA FSB would have discovered that the information it was reporting

about Plaintiff was inaccurate and the Accounts were fraudulent if Defendant USAA FSB had

reviewed its own systems and previous communications with the Plaintiff.

262. Defendant USAA FSB's investigation was per se deficient by reason of these

failures in Defendant USAA FSB's investigation of Plaintiff's Dispute.

263. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant USAA FSB's violations of § 1681s-

2(b), Plaintiff suffered actual damages, including but not limited to: (i) money lost attempting to

fix Plaintiff's credit; (ii) denial of financing for lines of credit and loans (iii) loss of time attempting

PAGE | 37 of 39

to cure the errors in Plaintiff's credit; (iv) mental anguish, added stress, aggravation,

embarrassment, and other related impairments to the enjoyment of life such as headaches,

irritability, anxiety and loss of sleep; (v) reduction in credit score; and (vi) apprehensiveness to

apply for credit due to fear of rejection.

264. Defendant USAA FSB's actions constitute negligent noncompliance with the

FCRA rendering it liable for actual damages as well as attorney's fees in an amount to be

determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681o.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, respectfully, requests this Court to enter a judgment 265.

against Defendant USAA FSB awarding Plaintiff the following relief: [1] actual damages pursuant

to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); [2] costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

1681o(a)(2); and [3] any other relief that this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: December 20, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jennifer G. Simil

JIBRAEL S. HINDI, ESQ.

Florida Bar No.: 118259

E-mail: jibrael@jibraellaw.com

JENNIFER G. SIMIL, ESQ.

Florida Bar No.: 1018195

E-mail: jen@jibraellaw.com

The Law Offices of Jibrael S. Hindi

110 SE 6th Street, Suite 1744

Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Phone: 954-907-1136

Fax: 855-529-9540

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

PAGE | 38 of 39